545 Fifth Avenue, Suite 401, New York, NY 10017

Case 1:09-cv-04095-PAG-125-8-9999 Page 1 of 2

www.fkbllp.com

# MEMO ENDORSED

July 13, 2009

#### <u>Via Facsimile (212) -805-7930</u>

Honorable James C. Francis United States Magistrate Judge Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street New York, N.Y. 10007

Re:

Gabayzadeh v. Brafman, et al.

Case No.: 1:09-CV-4095-PAC-JCF

Request for Extension of Time to Respond

FKB File no. 301.096

USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 7/15/09

## Dear Judge Francis:

My Firm represents Defendants Benjamin Brafman, Brafman & Ross, P.C., Brafman & Associates, P.C., and Jennifer A. Liang (collectively "Brafman Defendants") in connection with the above-captioned matter. We write pursuant to your Honor's Individual Practice Rule 1.E, to request an extension through <u>August 20, 2009</u> to file an answer, or alternatively, to move for dismissal of the Amended Complaint under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12.

As the Court is aware, the undersigned previously requested and obtained an extension through July 20, 2009 to file a response to plaintiff's Complaint. However, on June 29, 2009, plaintiff filed a motion seeking leave to amend the Complaint to include multiple exhibits (in excess of seventy (70) pages), which were not previously annexed to the original Complaint.

The extension of time will serve the salutary purpose of permitting us to meet with our clients to discuss the amendment and consider an appropriate response, including the possibility of filing a motion to dismiss the Complaint.

Since the Complaint states that the pro se plaintiff is currently incarcerated in the Fort Dix Correctional Institute, we have not attempted to contact plaintiff as required under your Honor's Individual Practice Rules. We believe that plaintiff's pro se status and incarceration would make it difficult to comply with that requirement while advancing this application in a timely manner.

We are available to conference at the Court's convenience. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

### Respectfully submitted,

## FURMAN KORNFELD & BRENNAN LLP

Andrew S. Kowlowitz

## cc: Via First Class Mail

Mehdi Gabayzadeh Pro se plaintiff Reg. No. 68419-053 PO Box 2000 F.C.I. Fort Dix Fort Dix, N.J. 08640

Deborah A. Schwartz

Defendant
210 11th Avenue, Ste-1103

New York, N.Y. 10001

Thomas W. Hyland, Esq.
Anastasios P. Tonorezos, Esq.
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP
Counsel for Katzberg defendants
150 East 42nd Street
New York, N.Y. 10017

Application devied without prejudice to remedial if and when the plaintiff properly files and server an amended complaint. It's you, his original complaint is the operative pleading; the "motion" he submitted to the Court was rejected because it was not court was rejected because it was not complaint.

Court was rejected because it was not complaint.

So ORDERED.

Complaint.

Total P.003